

THE STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
Hillsborough County Superior Court, Northern District
300 Chestnut Street
Manchester, NH 03101-2490
603-669-7410
The Roman Catholic Bishop of Manchester & St. Lawrence Parish
V.
Gerard Beloin

NO. 07-E-0431

MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

1. On July 15, 2008 this Respondent filed a MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. As of this date, nearly 7 months later, the Court has yet to respond. I understand that there have been some upheavals in the Superior Court System recently. Justices Maguire and Barry have been moved from this Court to other courts. This is no fault of my own and I should not need to suffer because of these inactions that are out of my control. I am Pro Se and have two other full time jobs and a family to care for. The Diocese of Manchester can respond at a moments notice with the most expensive and experienced legal help available. It has filed everything as Ex Parte and using the perjured testimony of at least one member of organized crime, retired Goffstown Fire Chief Paul Nault and St. Lawrence Property Manager, to get its motions granted. According to my Guardian Angel, their motions are designed to **“get you to lose on the process, not the facts.”**

2. On August 11, 2008 this Respondent filed a MOTION FOR HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. It has been nearly 6 months. The Court held the HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT on this date in conjunction with the pretrial hearing. This Respondent was well prepared for a pretrial hearing. I was not well prepared for the HEARING ON THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. In the interest of fairness, if the Court is wavering on granting me this motion, I’m requesting that a scheduled HEARING ON MOTION FOR SUMARY JUDGEMENT be granted in order to fully vet the undisputed facts of the case.

3. On August 11, 2008 this Respondent filed a MOTION FOR CHANGE IN VENUE. It has been nearly 6 months. The Court has yet to respond, most likely for the same previously stated reasons. This is unfair to this respondent.

4. On August 11, 2008 this Respondent filed a MOTION TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE AFTER MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT is granted. It has been nearly 6 months. The Court has yet to respond. Most likely for the same previously stated reasons. This is unfair to this respondent.

5. In the interest of fairness, I ask that this MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE be granted and scheduled for at least 6 months from now in order for this respondent to have the proper time to prepare and to file the proper Motions for Hearings on these Motions.

For all other causes that may be fair and just.

Respectfully submitted,



Gerard Beloin
P.O. Box 304
New Boston, NH 03070
Date: February 6, 2009

CERTIFICATION OF COPIES

The Roman Catholic Bishop of Manchester & St. Lawrence Parish v Gerard M. Beloin

NO. 07-E-0431

I/We hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE has been forwarded to all complainants, respondents, and counsel for complainants and respondents listed below, and that I/we have included a copy of all attachments or enclosures submitted with it.

Name
Attorney Leigh Willey

Address
111 Amherst Street
Manchester, NH 03101

Date: February 6, 2009



Signature

P.O. Box 304
New Boston, NH 03070 - 304
603-487-5419